

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,048	09/20/2007	Robert R. Rando	124-315USFR6162	9518
74275 DILWORTH I	7590 03/03/201 PIIC	EXAMINER		
2 CORPORATE DRIVE, SUITE 206			SZNAIDMAN, MARCOS L	
TRUMBULL,	CI 06611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s)							
10/598,048	RANDO, ROBERT R.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	1628						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
N	XAMINER MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	XAMINET Art Unit MARCOS SZNAIDMAN 1628					

	MARCOS SZNAIDMAN	1628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress		
This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Neperiod for reply (including a total extension of time of 	failing or Transmission dated		expiration of the		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to t	he final rejection.		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with the continued and the continued are continued as the continued and the continued are continued as the co	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 	5).				
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was 					
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	_		
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	t been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	ired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the No	tice of		
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trai	nsmission dated), which is		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire in	nterest, or all of		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR		
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		se the period for see	king court review		
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
Janine Ladislaw (Attny for Applicant) confirmed on a abandoned.	a telephonic interview on 02/28/1	1 that this applica	tion was		
/MARCOS SZNAIDMAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 1628					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
US Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)